

8p

M

**SPEECH**  
**OF**  
**MR. THOMPSON, OF PENNSYLVANIA,**  
**ON THE**  
**OREGON QUESTION.**

**DELIVERED**  
**IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,**

**JANUARY 28, 1846.**

---

**WASHINGTON:**  
**PRINTED AT THE UNION OFFICE.**

**1846.**

Map

979.51

T468

On

T  
the  
M  
follo

M  
occ  
tim  
in p  
py:  
feel  
to  
nei  
sett  
tain  
mo  
qu  
the  
act  
sir  
tle  
ex  
if  
ge

w  
an  
w  
ov  
w  
T  
pe  
w  
T

pe  
re  
"w  
th  
ri  
c  
th

th  
R  
li  
r  
r  
t

## SPEECH.

### *On the resolution giving the twelve months' notice for the termination of the joint occupancy of the Oregon territory.*

The House being in Committee of the Whole on the state of the Union—

Mr. THOMPSON addressed the committee as follows:

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I have desired an opportunity to occupy the attention of the committee for a short time on this great question. I have the honor, sir, in part, to represent an old Commonwealth, occupying an important position in the republic, and feeling a deep concern in all that may be presumed to materially affect the general interests. I shall neither speak loud nor long on this subject; and, in setting out, I may be permitted to say that I entertain views very widely differing from many—nay, most of the gentlemen who have spoken on this question. We have been told by very many of them that, this notice passing, war was to ensue—actual and inevitable war—with England. Now, sir, let us think of that, and look at the signs a little. A month ago I entertained the opinion, and expressed it repeatedly, that there would be no war if the notice were given. All through the debate, gentlemen on both sides of the question spoke of war—on the one side, admitted that it might come; and, on the other, that it was inevitable. Why, sir, where do you see any signs of war? Look out all over the face of nature and society, and there is no war there. The President says nothing of the kind. The Sen—yes, sir, they will preclude the supposed possibility—it is only a prediction—of a war, or chance of war, by voting down this notice. The press throughout our country is everywhere peaceful. So it is in England. Sir Robert Peel did remark, some time ago, that “they”—the English—“had rights (in Oregon) which, if violated, they would be ready to maintain.” And who doubts that? Nobody proposes to invade any of their rights under the treaty; and Sir Robert Peel could certainly have meant no other rights while the treaty remains in full force. Is there war in this? The Queen has said nothing about war, the British cabinet nothing; and, sir, we have, by the late arrivals, the views of the press there since the receipt of the President's message. Their comments on that document are perfectly pacific. The recommendations of the President to give this notice; to erect stockades on the road to Oregon; to raise the riflemen; to establish an Indian agency; to

extend our laws over the territory; to send our mails there—all, all this is spoken of, and the paragraph usually ends in a little bit of a compliment, that Mr. Polk is a very clever writer. Sir, there is no war in this; the whole poetry of the thing is destroyed by this late arrival. Some body there proposes to trade off Oregon for the tariff. Sir, I will stand no trade of that kind, rest assured of it. No, no, sir. A friend of mine from Illinois has exhibited some anxiety to know my course on this subject. I have arrived at the right point to tell him now; (here Mr. T. looked round for him, and remarked that he did not see him,) but I will tell him I go for the whole of Oregon, and the tariff too! The whole of Oregon. (Applause.) I am, therefore, opposed to the trade. But, sir, there is nothing like war in this proposition to trade, in my judgment. Let us see further. It is said the English are repairing their fortresses, mounting cannon on the fortifications at Sheerness, Pembroke, Portsmouth, Jersey, and the Cape of Good Hope. Why, sir, do you suppose the old gentleman (Johnny Bull) is really afraid the Yankees will come over the water and take these places from him? Soberly and seriously, does any gentleman suppose it is on account of us he is arming these places? Why, sir, there is peace everywhere—everywhere but here, in this little dark circumference—this hall. Excuse me, sir, when I say I have sometimes thought of the story of the “Tempest in a tea-pot.”

England, sir, conscious of her position and of her title, has never exhibited as much sensitiveness in relation to this subject as gentlemen seem to suppose. I have exhibited a little of the spirit of the press in relation to the recommendations of our Executive. No alarm has followed it in England. But we have gone further on this subject. The venerable gentleman from Massachusetts, [Mr. ADAMS,] while President of the of the United States, in 1826, recommended, I believe, the erection of a fort at the mouth of the Columbia river, on Cape Disappointment. He will correct me if I err. This is on the north side of it. Actual fortification, commanding a most important position, was recommended by him. Mr. Monroe had made a similar recommendation. The English made no objection, and no threats of war on that account, and do not seem to do so now, under present recommendations.

England seems to have borrowed her faith in her title from us. From this hall valuable lessons may be learned on that side of the question, and if she does bluster much about it, she will be much encouraged in doing so on account of occurrences here. But that is not the point just now; the argument is, that if we give the notice we shall have war.

Was that the understanding by the parties when the treaties of 1818 and 1827 for joint occupancy, as some call it, were entered into? Did the President, the Senate, the King, and the House of Lords so understand it? Did they understand that a treaty of peace, which provided for the commencement of a war had been entered into, which agreed that the convention should be terminated on a notice of twelve months by either party to the other, which notice should be equivalent to a declaration of war? This, sir, this would have been something of a novelty. If it be so, that the giving of notice to end the joint occupancy shall be equivalent to a declaration of war, I beg to say it places the parties in a dilemma, truly. Without speaking of rights, it would be this: if the occupancy continued, the party having the right might lose it by the growth and encroachment of the other; and if the power getting woisted by the operation should give the notice to quit, he must be prepared to fight it out. This would be changing the question of title into the contingencies of the result of a war about it. I think I give but a fair analysis of the argument that makes the notice equivalent to war. Why, sir, the notice is not a war measure; the press in England don't at all speak of it as such; and I will take leave to venture my belief that, in the estimation of every civilized power on earth, war, as the result of this notice, would be considered as a violation of the spirit and intent of that treaty. What would be the effect of the notice? Simply, sir, to remit the parties to their original rights. What would be our position? The Secretary of State, [Mr. Buchanan,] in his powerful and conclusive argument on the subject in the late negotiation with the English minister, has shown clearly that England, at the treaty of 1818, by her ministers, recognised the United States as the party in possession of the territory for all objects of negotiation between the governments. This being so, sir, if we give the notice, England must move first: we are in possession, and she not. Would she be justified in fighting on sight? No, sir; she must make such offers as would put her in the right; she must move; and if that can be done, we shall, I trust, never be ready to fight in the wrong. An arrangement would soon follow—a final settlement would ensue.

Suppose, Mr. Chairman, the notice given, and Great Britain should take it into her head to go to war, her manifesto would be a curiosity I rather think. We will suppose, of course, that it would contain a true statement of her title—the right she was remitted to by reason of the treaty being ended. She would begin by stating that one John Meares, a lieutenant of the royal navy, at the time in the service of the East India Company, and sailing in a Portuguese vessel, landed at Nootka in 1788; while there, made some trades with the natives; among other things, procured a right to build there; returned the next season, and commenced building a vessel. The viceroy of Mexico, conceiving it an interference with the territorial rights of Spain, seized and confiscated two ships then lying in the sound

—the *Argonaut* and *Princess Royal*—which were afterwards given up; required him to leave the spot, and broke up his establishment. He proceeds to England, on behalf of those interested in the establishment and property, to procure from that government a demand of indemnity from Spain for the seizure. Before arriving there, however, Spain had made known to Great Britain her intention to demand from that government punishment on the aggressor, and guarantees and assurances against future aggressions by the subjects of that power on her possessions on the north Pacific. Gentlemen will bear in mind, for this is briefly the position of the parties up to that time, that Spain claimed redress for a territorial interference; and on the arrival of Meares, England made a demand on Spain for an injury done to personal property of one of her subjects. These were the issues, territorial and personal. The negotiations resulted in the treaty signed at the Escorial on the 24th October, 1790. This treaty provided for the restoration of the lands and buildings which had been seized. In point of fact, I believe the reoccupation never took place. The two following articles of that convention I shall read, as showing what was agreed on:

"Art. 3. In order to strengthen the bonds of friendship, and to preserve in future perfect harmony and good understanding between the two contracting parties, it is agreed that their respective subjects shall not be disturbed or restricted in carrying on their fisheries in the Pacific ocean or in the South seas, or in landing on the coasts of those seas, in places not already occupied, for the purpose of carrying on commerce with the natives of the country, or of making settlements there; the whole subject, nevertheless, to the restrictions specified in the three following articles."

The 5th article, being the only important one on this subject, I will give:

"Art. 5. As well in the places which are to be restored to the British subjects by virtue of the first article, as in all other parts of the northwestern coast of North America, or of the islands adjacent, situate to the north of the parts of the said coast already occupied by Spain, wherever the subjects of either of the two powers shall have made settlements since the month of April, 1789, or shall HEREAFTER make any, the subjects of the other shall have free access, and shall carry on their trade without any disturbance or molestation."

The above, Mr. Chairman, is the title conferred by the Nootka Sound convention, so much relied on by England. I shall not stop to inquire whether this treaty was afterwards destroyed or abrogated by the war of 1796, or revived in 1814. Commercial treaties are certainly, as a principle of international law, abrogated by war. Why? Simply because it depends upon future arrangements whether the parties shall ever be at peace, and if never at peace the treaties are at an end. But I will not further argue this point. What rights do these articles quoted give to England? The right of soil, or the right of commerce? I say "give" to England; for can any gentleman believe that England conceived herself the owner of one inch of that coast by prior right, and entered into that treaty on the terms used in it? Did she conceive that she was acquiring title, exclusive or otherwise, to any of it? The burden of the stipulations is for carrying on the fisheries, and for trade and commerce with the natives of the country, "or of making settlements there;" the whole subject to "the restrictions in the following articles," one of which stipulates that settlements north of the territory occupied by Spain, made by the subjects of either, after 1789, the subjects of the other should have free access to, and right to "carry on trade" with them. If subjects of Spain settled north of the

al—which were  
to leave the spot,

He proceeds to  
ed in the estab-  
rom that govern-  
Spain for the  
ever, Spain had  
intention to de-  
ment on the ag-  
nces against fu-  
that power on  
fic. Gentlemen  
y the position of  
ain claimed re-  
nd on the arrival  
on Spain for an  
one of her sub-  
itorial and per-  
the treaty sign-  
er, 1790. This  
of the lands and  
in point of fact,  
place. The two  
n I shall read,

onds of friendship,  
y and good under-  
arties, it is agreed  
e disturbed or re-  
e Pacific ocean or  
e coasts of those  
the purpose of car-  
country, or of ma-  
nevertheless, to  
wing articles."

important one on

to be restored to  
rest article, as in  
th of North America,  
rth of the parts of  
wherever the sub-  
made settlements  
REAT-ER make  
free access, and  
urbance or moles-

the title conferred  
much relied on  
e whether this  
rogated by the  
mmercial trea-  
ernational law,  
because it de-  
ber the parties  
peace the trea-  
rger argue this  
quoted give to  
t of commerce?  
gentleman be-  
owner of one  
I entered into  
Did she con-  
exclusive or  
of the stipula-  
and for trade  
country, "or  
ole subject to  
cles," one of  
e of the terri-  
subjects of  
other should  
ry on trade"  
north of the

itory occupied by Spain, that was all right; if  
fishmen settled, that was all right; neither was  
be hindered by the other; this right was to re-  
from the treaty; Englishmen were to settle  
er the treaty; and the privilege of trade, as well  
protection, was the consequence. But suppose  
treaty ended by war, or otherwise, what would  
the effect? Could Englishmen settle there then?  
o, by what right? Not under the treaty; for  
it did not exist. Had they any other title? Sir,  
in had acquired title more than two centuries  
ore by discovery, as far north as the northern  
ndary of Oregon. This England knew. It  
s notorious. Do gentlemen think she would  
e stipulated for a right to fish and settle there  
he did not know this? If she had no title there  
rior to this Nootka sound title, what would be  
result, then, with her, if that convention  
e terminated? She would be remitted to her  
ginal title; if that did not exist, she would be  
hout a claim or pretence of right. Here sir, I am  
ut to state a principle, and I call the attention of  
learned colleague, the chairman on Foreign Af-  
s, [Mr. C. J. INGERSOLL,] to it. It is this: if two  
ions agree mutually to occupy a territory for  
length of time together, or for an indefinite pe-  
l, the right of neither by the occupancy so  
eed for acquires or gains strength as against the  
er during the time the agreement lasts. Is this  
so? ["Yes, yes," from several.] It is so. If not  
an agreement for reciprocal navigation of a river  
uld ripen into a title, no matter whether within  
exclusive jurisdiction of but one power or not.  
ation might demand the perpetual opening of a  
t, because by treaty it had been open for a defi-  
period. We might have a title to the New-  
ndland fisheries if this were so. But it is not so.  
pose, then, we look at the title so situated under  
se circumstances. The subjects of Great Britain  
ered into the territory under the Nootka sound  
vention; and suppose that continued in force un-  
England entered into the convention of 1818 with  
which is only admitted for argument so to be.  
e agreement with us ended the agreement with  
in. Suppose we should now terminate the  
ement entered into with us? England would  
remitted to her rights anterior to her agreement  
h us. What would they be? Why, an agreement  
h Spain. Suppose that agreement is terminated by  
entering into the convention with us, by the war  
h Spain, or by notice now, under our title from  
in, England is remitted to her rights anterior to  
Nootka sound convention; and what were they?  
thing—nothing, sir. For she made no pretence  
title to the territory now claimed at that time.  
is Nootka sound convention is now relied upon  
the foundation and superstructure of her title,  
l, if my position be correct, confers none whatever.  
Charles Fox said of this convention in 1792—and  
was quite as English as any body here could de-  
an authority to be—after noticing several incon-  
sistencies in it, "that it reminded him of a lawyer's  
ll, written by himself, who, at a particular clause,  
de a marginal note: "*This will afford a glorious  
nce for a suit in chancery.*" I will not stop to say  
w unkind this remark was to the profession—  
laugh—but I will say that it exhibited clearly  
e opinion—coterminous opinion of English-  
—of that treaty as the foundation of title. Will  
he Bull go to war on this title? Why, sir, he  
s always been desirous to preserve appearances—  
es the world to believe he has a good cause—

no matter how the fact is. I remember in  
my juvenile days of reading a book called "*John  
Bull and Brother Jonathan.*" The old gentleman  
was represented lecturing his son Jonathan about  
not paying taxes and tea duties, &c., without  
grumbling. There was a picture in the book. There  
stood Jonathan, a long, lank, quant-looking fellow,  
and beside him John Bull, very genteel in appear-  
ance, toilet recently made, speaking in the most  
courteous manner to his undutiful son, with one  
hand in his bosom, and the other *styly* slipped into  
Jonathan's pocket—[A laugh.] He was 'pre-  
serving appearances. And he will try and do so  
again; and, if appearances are against him, he will  
not fight. Both appearances and facts are against  
him in our case.

But suppose England should determine to fight,  
what does she risk? Let us look at this as a neces-  
sary means of ascertaining the probabilities about  
her ultimate course in this matter. Sir, this mani-  
festo that I have been considering, would be scanned,  
her title examined, and she convicted in the judg-  
ment, not only of cotemporaries, but of the world, in all  
time, of injustice, and consequently dishonor. But  
again: a gentleman the other day told us that her pos-  
sessions on the north stretched along for three thou-  
sand miles; that they contained as many square miles  
as the United States. Will she risk this? Why, sir,  
Michigan proposes to annex all this to herself in  
ninety days, [laughing.] But, seriously, would  
she ever risk these immense possessions for the  
the acquisition of a few parallels of latitude north of  
the Columbia? Why, the loss of her American  
possessions would be the era of her decline and  
final downfall. Will she risk it? Will gentlemen  
who have apostrophized her sagacity believe that  
she will not think of this? In my opinion, Mr.  
Chairman, she would as certainly lose these posses-  
sions, if she goes to war with us, as that I am stand-  
ing on this floor. New Brunswick, Nova Scotia,  
Upper and Lower Canada would all be lost to her  
forever! Michigan will hardly be expected to per-  
form all this, but it will be perfectly within the power  
of the Union.

Her commerce, too, what of that? Gentlemen  
give us glowing pictures of the injury to ours in the  
event of war. Hers is said to be much greater, and  
would it not suffer as much as ours? If injury to  
commerce be an argument to induce a sacrifice of  
rights on our part, will it have no effect to counter-  
vail aggression on the part of England? This is an  
argument, which, if it may be applied to us, has  
much greater force when appealed to her, because her  
commerce is more extensive, and because it is more  
important to her than ours to us. Will she risk her  
commerce?

But, sir, there is Ireland. Will she risk Ireland?  
—at least a bloody conflict to retain it? The standing  
army there now is required to be 29,000 strong, I  
believe. Will she risk a conflict there? This, she  
knows, she would most inevitably do by going to war  
with us.

And France, sir—ay, here is the solution to the  
enigma of her islandic preparations for war. France,  
like a huge serpent, lies in envenomed coils at her  
feet, ready at the moment of opportunity to strike her  
ancient foe. When death shall have called hence her  
so called republican king, then comes the difficulty.  
Will there be no pretender, no struggle on the part  
of the exiled house of Bourbon? If not, will there  
not be difficulties on the subject of the regency?  
The Count de Paris is but a child. Who will be re-

gent? The inactive, or rather passive Duke de Nemours? or the Queen mother? or the victorious de Joinville? France must and will escape intestine trouble only by foreign war. And while Agén-court, Cressy, Poitiers, and Waterloo are bitterly remembered, and still unavenged, will not the war most likely to occur with England? The conviction in England, if we may believe the intelligent sources of information is, a rupture with France on the death of Louis Philippe. He is now very old; and in view of this, England is preparing. John Bull is tying up his waist, rolling up his sleeves, and making ready for the conflict. He expects it soon. Will he risk the conjuncture of a war with us, which would increase the probabilities of a war with France?

But, in a war with us, how are the manufacturers of England to get along? How can their work-shops exist. How will she provide against starvation? or, at least, against much serious injury? Where will she get her cotton from? Let me assure the gentleman from South Carolina, [Mr. RHETT,] that this will be a most serious obstacle in the way of war, and that the cotton bales of the South will again not only be a secure breast-work against the enemy, but will insure us the victory over him. [Merriment.] Will England not think of this—of her manufacturers?

But, lastly, there comes the chances of being beaten—horse foot and dragoons. Would she think of that? Will the contest with Hercules in his cradle be forgotten? In infancy we achieved our independence, and, I trust, disenthralled a continent. In youth we gained a glorious victory, notwithstanding her power; and are not our chances now as bright—our success as probable—nay, certain?

The gentleman from Virginia, [Mr. PENDLETON]—the "lone star" from the Old Dominion—and I conceive it quite a compliment to call him thus from such a Commonwealth—gave us but the other day quite the other side of the picture. It was in style a very handsome speech—it certainly was—[laugh.] I assure gentlemen it was. I admired the style and the execution. But in that speech he told us of the wisdom of England—of her power; that she had conquered in every land, almost on every field; that she ruled the sea "On every wave," &c. I beg pardon, I did not mean to quote poetry—[great laughter.] By the way, sir, I see that rascally Herald (New York) has got all the poetry that has been expended on this subject, in his paper, all together side by side, without context—[Mr. HOLMES, or pretext]—yes, or pretext—beginning with Massachusetts and ending with Michigan—[merriment.] But, as I was saying, the gentleman spoke of her immense possessions everywhere scattered over the earth, and said that the "roll of her drums could be heard around the globe." It was very graphic; the description was. I thought the gentleman heard the drums as he described. Upon my word, sir, I thought I did. Indeed it seemed so. I felt like it, and felt a "little scared" as Sam Slick would say. [Laughter.] But let us see the force of the gentleman's appeal, or argument. I am convinced that an appeal such as this, made to that gentleman, would under all circumstances be disregarded, if he felt he was right. Accustomed to a habitude of thought when regarding that Old Commonwealth, (Virginia,) that he so ably represents,

I feel constrained to say, that I am sure the argument to her would fall upon a deaf ear. Sir, it was an appeal to our fears—it was an appeal to appear. A question. What do you think of the argument? Let me give an illustration. Suppose I should meet with the tremendous bruiser, and he should seize me by the collar, and say I want your coat; I reply you were not to have it. He rejoins, "I will have it," I look are unprepared a bit, as we say in the west. [Laughter.] I remember an arm he has flogged Bendigo, licked Jim Byrne, a Liverpool made the Deaf'un give in, and would have flogged that Molyneaux himself, only he was dead. [Laughter.] I make up my mind about the matter; West; and pretty quick; I think of the carnage that would be gentle low if I stood out; and I gently hand over the top of my head. I meet the gentleman from Virginia, or either one of the other gentlemen who have used the same argument before, and relate the whole transaction to him. What common you think he would say? Certainly, that I have power to get out of the scrape—that is certain; but I presumes I might tell me, that he would have liked my action; no, some better if I had shown a little more pure our power. [Laughter.] This is then I think a fair illustrating severe of the argument appealed to our apprehensions burden fears. Can a nation pause upon such an argument?—I think Never, sir, never!

But what, may I ask, is our position in rechanting this question? If our title be good, what arm shall we assert it? Shall the arguments I have been combatis; and prevent us? Peace is a blessing, but it must to any honorable to be such. If the notice should Irish navy produce war, I insist upon it we are bound to not recede; we must move in the matter. We have over the 49th parallel of latitude as a compromise. [Laughter.] The President tells us this has been done and rejected in the British minister. Will any man ask us to some yet to offer it under these circumstances? He tells the matter further, that the offer thus rejected was withdrawn and our "title asserted to the whole of Oregon." A year more have asserted the title to be in us, and believe each half assertion just, as I think this debate shows where is no ask, are we not bound on every principle of honor said, proceed to the exclusive occupancy of what merchant own? If Oregon be ours, why not act up to it, why, sir, laration, and possess it? We must do so—we do fight or get away from it without dishonor—without other voiding our rights, and acquiescing under the idea she was ment appealed to our apprehensions. I am convinced that a firm and fearless assertion on each rights, and a determination to maintain them, each old Jurest way to prevent difficulties about them a little that this course will have the effect to insure peace—that

Mr. Chairman, the argument so often urged (namely, the power of England,) what is it? It must be remembered how it was disregarded in 1776 every this government is the result of that glorious time, in every regard. But what is that power? The population in most Great Britain—of course including Ireland and, it will land—is, at most, not over 27,000,000 of inhabitants, with The repealers tell us—and Mr. Smith O'Brien cause law in Ireland now—that not an Irish bazaar of should be taken to Oregon to assert the British claim. No repealer, at least, will aid in it, Mr. Chairman he means. Here, sir, is 7,000,000 of our own men from account at once. In other words, in a contest between the United States, Ireland would neutralize the gentleman. Will they bring the sepoy from India to fight the gentleman? No, I presume not. From the Cape of Good Hope will they bring troops? from the Canada of Oregon? Why, sir, they will need troops in all these places, where Some will be employed in India; some in fighting him some at the Cape of Good Hope; some in C.

\*The only whig from Virginia.



some to beat these "drums round the world." [I am sure the audience.] But let us reverse positions a little, I fear. Sir, it will see what they say on the other side of the appeal to appear. A few years ago, when England wanted a vote of the State of Maine, and there was talk of proposing I should meet with the United States, a croaker—for there are such—should seize me by the English Parliament—complained that I replied you were not ready to fight us; that their ports were unprotected; their towns were in danger; and so forth. I remember an armed American steamer could come out and catch Jim Byrne, a Liverpool! One would almost be inclined to believe we had done that this had emanated from some member who was dead. [I caught a little of the confidence of my friends from him about the West; and it would be easy to imagine, too, that someone would have gentlemen here had caught a little English faithfully hand over the I poured it out over there—(pointing to the other Virginia, or either one of the House.) These things show, sir, that we need the same argument be cautious how we become alarmed. Panic set in to him. What common argument. Alarmed, sir; why, what is certainly, that I have power that we should be alarmed? We have Britain; but I presume millions of population—united and free people have liked my nation; no colonies requiring a standing army to own a little more pure our possession in; a commercial marine can think a fair illustrating several hundreds of vessels of at least 1,000 tons each, our apprehensions burden—[a voice, more than a thousand such, upon such an argu—I thank the gentleman; he says more than a thousand such—a host of hardy whalers, and so on our position in merchantmen to man them with. Why could we not arm them? It might be good, what arm these vessels? It could be done in a few months; I have been combant; and our navy would go out in strength to protect, but it must to any period of strength in the history of the notice should it wish navy. A gentleman the other day—I really do not we are bound to not recollect who it was, for there have been many others. We have heard speeches made on this Oregon question this is a compromise. [a laugh]—said that all the iron establishments done and rejectants in the Union could not supply guns enough for any man ask us some years to put us in a proper state of defence. I cannot find instances? He tells the mark! I would say, my good friend, let us reject with without tariff alone, and old Pennsylvania herself can in whole of Oregon." The year make guns enough—I had almost said to in us, and believe half across the Pacific—[much laughing.] This debate shows where is nothing in this thing, I assure you. Every principle of peace said, we have many vessels of 1,000 tons in the capacity of what merchant service, fine sailers, that could be armed. Why not act up to them? Why, sir, one of our gallant seamen made a glorious must do so—we can fight it, in a little vessel—[a voice, "frigate"; another, "fisher"—without other voice, the Essex]—a frigate at Valparaiso, descending under the id she was only a 400-ton vessel. We have now a number of men. I am sure we have well built market-ships, from 800 to 1,000 tons each. Arm these, sir; arm them! and we will be able to maintain them, each old Johnny Bull that the Yankees are aloft; duties about them a little harder to thrash than Monsieur Cra-effect to insure peace—that's French for you, at sea—[great applause often urged.] Sir, I have no fear of this issue, and, what is it? It must come. We shall have the advantage disregarded in 1776 everywhere, and in all places—in every part of that glorious time, in every country. We shall have the advantage. The population in moral power, in political as well as physical. Including Ireland and, it will be like a contest between a young brave of 7,000,000 of inhabitants, with a strong arm, a clear conscience, and a Mr. Smith O'Brien cause—with wicked old age, and a thousand years not an Irish bar of crime on his head. In such a contest to assert the Be shall prevail—we shall prevail.

But, what aid in it. Mr. Chairman, I must notice a remark of the gentleman is 7,000,000 out of Massachusetts, who represents the words, in a contest Boston district, I believe, [Mr. WINTHROP,] and would neutralize him who spoke on this subject some time ago. I admired the speech from India to fight the gentleman's manner very much. I did, indeed. From the Cape of Good Hope amongst other remarks he said, the "cry now was, from the Canada of Oregon or none—and echo answers, none!" Troops in all these places, where was that echo from? Was it here, sir? India; some in France; some in China; some in the Cape of Good Hope; some in Ceylon; some in Australia; some in New Zealand; some in the Sandwich Islands; some in the Hawaiian Islands; some in the Philippines; some in the East Indies; some in the Malay Peninsula; some in the Celebes; some in the Moluccas; some in the Dutch East Indies; some in the British East Indies; some in the Portuguese East Indies; some in the Spanish East Indies; some in the Danish East Indies; some in the Prussian East Indies; some in the Russian East Indies; some in the Austrian East Indies; some in the Hungarian East Indies; some in the Polish East Indies; some in the Sardinian East Indies; some in the Neapolitan East Indies; some in the Sicilian East Indies; some in the Venetian East Indies; some in the Papal East Indies; some in the Turkish East Indies; some in the Egyptian East Indies; some in the Syrian East Indies; some in the Persian East Indies; some in the Arabian East Indies; some in the Indian East Indies; some in the Chinese East Indies; some in the Japanese East Indies; some in the Korean East Indies; some in the Manchurian East Indies; some in the Mongolian East Indies; some in the Tibetan East Indies; some in the Nepalese East Indies; some in the Bhutanese East Indies; some in the Burmese East Indies; some in the Siamese East Indies; some in the Annamese East Indies; some in the Cochinese East Indies; some in the Cambodian East Indies; some in the Laotian East Indies; some in the Vietnamese East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Khmer East Indies; some in the Viet East Indies; some in the Thai East Indies; some in the Lao East Indies; some in the Shan East Indies; some in the Karen East Indies; some in the Kachin East Indies; some in the Chinthe East Indies; some in the Miao East Indies; some in the Yao East Indies; some in the Mien East Indies; some in the Hmong East Indies; some in the Jarai East Indies; some in the Bahnar East Indies; some in the Chrau East Indies; some in the Kh

just to conjecture that the wish was parent to the thought? If this wish were made reality, sir, it would sound, to my ear, like defeat, dishonor; like driving the last nail in the coffin of our political existence. Because this cannot be so, until abandoned by ourselves, we yield the justice of our cause to the domineering spirit of our ancient foe. If the remark was any thing else than a figure, the machinery to round off a sentence, I regret that it was uttered. I disagree with the sentiment, and with all the qualifications the gentleman surrounded it with. But, sir, Massachusetts has been redeemed from a suspicion that hung around her patriotism on this subject by the venerable gentleman [Mr. Adams] now in his seat across the way. His speech was refreshing, it was a great effort; and, illustrious as had been the history of his services, this last act was an act of crowning glory, illuminating all the past.

Mr. Chairman, I have but a few remarks more to make. [Cries of "go on."] The gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. WINTHROP] spoke of our title being the *best*. His language was: "I acknowledge our title is the *best*"—a very considerable concession. Indeed, it has been rather common to speak thus. Now, the remark has always sounded strange to my ear. Why, sir, if we have the *best* title, England has none. There is no such thing as comparatives about title—no good, better, best. If my friend who sits beside me has a *title* for a town lot, and I have a *better* one, he has *none*. [Laughter.] Is this not so? The gentleman from Georgia is a lawyer, is he not—

MR. HOLMES: I am.

Mr. T. continued. And a very good one, too.  
[Laughter.]

Mr. HOLMES. I didn't say that.

Mr. T. No, but I am very sure of it. Will he not agree that this is so: If I have a title better than his for a piece of property, why he has none. Why? because I have the title.

Mr. HOLMES. What do you call color of title?

Mr. T. B. EMMES: What do you call color of title? Mr. T. Why, sir I call it a very unpromising *looking* thing. [Merriment.] If a man goes into court with only a *color* of title, he is apt to come out with a pretty highly *colored* bill of costs to pay. [A laugh.] I will give you an instance of *color* of title. A man buys a forged title, and enters into possession—this entering is under *color* of title—it would not be a very pretty *color*. [Laughter.] It would not be a title when the genuine one was produced. I appeal to my eloquent and learned colleague [Mr. INGERSOLL] if this is not so?

Mr. C. J. INGERSOLL. Every word of it true.

Mr. T. continued. Color of title in Great Britain, if we have the best title, would not amount to much.

In conclusion, sir, let met advert to the value of Oregon. It has beautiful valleys and fertile soil; but in this consist not its great value. I am not a prophet, and most likely never will be; but, sir, I predict and believe that the London of America is destined to be built on the coast of Oregon, at or near the mouth of the Columbia. The city that is to carry on the commerce with India and China will be planted there. And notwithstanding it sounds wild, Utopian, a railroad will be made across this continent to the Columbia—from 15,000 to 20,000 miles of navigation in the voyage to China and the Indies will be saved by it—far more than half the voyage. Captain Frémont astonishes us in his description of the great South Pass in the Rocky



mountains. Why, sir, we can cross the mountains without scarcely perceiving the acclivity. For 127 miles, the ascent, he tells us, is gradual, hardly perceptible; and at the dividing ridge, or apex, the acclivity is not greater than the ascent from the Pennsylvania avenue to this Capitol. This will be the route for the railroad destined to carry the trade and commerce of the East on its road to

Europe, as well as into the heart of our own country. The iron horse, will thunder through the pass, bearing in his long train the riches of the world, filling our land with wealth and happiness, the abundant fruits of our great enterprise. In view of these things, I am for the whole of Oregon every inch of it, and I heartily desire the notice to be given.

heart of our own e  
ill thunder through  
train the riches of  
ith wealth and ha  
our great enterprise  
for the whole of Ore  
rtily desire the notice